

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted by telephone on January 8, 2010 and attended by Examiner Treyger and Applicant's representatives Sabling Lee and Gregg Koch.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

1, 14, and 19.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

None.

Proposed Amendments

None.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicant's representatives argued that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the inventions set forth in Claims 1, 14, and 19 were made to supply the claimed elements of 10/575870 with the means for supplying the thermal energy, as is stated at page 3 of the Office Action mailed on 11/25/2009 (the "Office Action").

Results of Interview

Examiner Treyger agreed that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the inventions set forth in Claims 1, 14, and 19 were made to supply the claimed elements of 10/575870 with the means for supplying the thermal energy, and agreed to remove the double patenting rejection of Claims 1, 14, and 19 over Claims 1, 6, 13, and 23 of Application No. 10/575,870 set forth in the Office Action.